arXiv:1609.02591v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 26 Oct 2016

Collective Dynamics and Strong Pinning of Charge-Density-Wave Order in $La_{1.48}Nd_{0.4}Sr_{0.12}CuO_4$

P. G. Baity,[1] T. Sasagawa,[2] and Dragana Popović[1,*]

[1] *National High Magnetic Field Laboratory and Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA*

[2] *Materials and Structures Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Kanagawa 226-8503, Japan*

(Dated: October 27, 2016)

The dynamics of charge-ordered states is one of the central unresolved issues in underdoped cuprate high-temperature superconductors. Static short-range charge-density-wave (CDW) domains have been detected in almost all cuprates, including $La_{1.48}Nd_{0.4}Sr_{0.12}CuO_4$, in which CDW order is stabilized by a structural transition. We probe the dynamics across the CDW and structural transition in $La_{1.48}Nd_{0.4}Sr_{0.12}CuO_4$ by measuring nonequilibrium charge transport, or resistance R as the system responds to a change in temperature and to an applied magnetic field. We find evidence for collective dynamics of domains in the CDW-ordered phase: although they are strongly pinned by disorder, the domains are not static, but trapped in long-lived metastable states. Surprisingly, nonequilibrium effects, such as avalanches in R, are revealed only when the transition is approached from the charge-ordered phase. By unveiling the asymmetry of the transition, this work also points a way to detecting fluctuating CDWs in other cuprates and various strongly-correlated materials.

The role of various forms of charge and spin density wave orders ("stripes") observed in underdoped cuprate high-T_c superconductors is one of the main open issues in the field [1]. In particular, the search for fluctuations of charge-density-wave (CDW) order has been the subject of intensive research with the goal to clarify their relationship to high-T_c superconductivity [2]. However, except in $La_{1.9}Sr_{0.1}CuO_4$ [3], static CDWs have been found in all cuprates [4–15]. The remarkable stability of the CDW order and its short-range nature are usually believed to be due to the pinning by disorder [16–18], although some evidence in $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+x}$ suggests otherwise [19]. On the other hand, the existence of nanodomains, resulting from the interplay of various degrees of freedom [20–23], may be expected, on general grounds, to give rise to an exponentially large number of metastable states, perhaps even in the absence of disorder [24, 25]. The following key questions thus arise: (1) Do the observed static domain structures correspond to a ground state or a long-lived metastable state? (2) What is the role of disorder?

Here we report a novel study of domain dynamics in cuprates, based on *nonequilibrium* charge transport. We focus on $La_{1.48}Nd_{0.4}Sr_{0.12}CuO_4$, in which CDW order is stabilized by a structural transition [26–29]. Moreover, since fluctuations are generally expected to be more pronounced near phase transitions, we present a detailed investigation of the regime *across* the CDW (and structural) transition. By employing two different nonequilibrium protocols, we find evidence for metastable states in the CDW-ordered phase, including slow, nonexponential relaxations of resistance, hysteresis and memory in the magnetoresistance (MR), and avalanches with a power-law distribution of sizes. A picture emerges of interacting domains that, although strongly pinned by disorder, are not static, but trapped in long-lived metastable states.

Material.— $La_{2-x-y}R_ySr_xCuO_4$ (rare earth R = Nd or Eu) and $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$ undergo a structural transition at $T = T_{LTT}$ from the low-temperature orthorhombic (LTO) to a low-temperature tetragonal (LTT) phase, with the transition consisting of a $\phi = 45°$ rotation of the tilting axis of the oxygen octahedra surrounding the Cu atoms [30]. In the LTT phase ($T < T_{LTT}$), the CuO_6 octahedra tilt about axes parallel to the Cu-O bonds, leading to two inequivalent Cu-O-Cu bonds or anisotropy within the CuO_2 planes that stabilizes stripes. The tilt axis and thus stripes are rotated by 90° from one CuO_2 layer to next [26]. In the basic picture, when both static spin and charge stripes are well developed at low enough $T < T_{CO}, T_{SO}$ (T_{CO} and T_{SO} are the onset of static charge and spin orders, respectively), doped holes populate antiphase domain walls, which run along the Cu-O bond direction and separate antiferromagnetic (AF) domains of spins on Cu sites (spin stripes). However, slowing down of the charge dynamics and short-range charge order have been observed already at $T > T_{CO}$, and there is also evidence that charge order triggers the slowing down of spin fluctuations and the growth of AF correlations for $T > T_{SO}$ [31, 32].

In $La_{1.48}Nd_{0.4}Sr_{0.12}CuO_4$ (LNSCO), $T_{LTT} \simeq 70$ K, with the apparent static CDW ordering essentially coincident with the structural transition, i.e. $T_{CO} \lesssim T_{LTT}$; $T_{SO} \simeq 50$ K [27–29]. For this doping, the LTO-LTT transition region is characterized by the presence of an intermediate, low-temperature less-orthorhombic (LTLO) phase, in which the rotation of the octahedral tilt axis is not complete, i.e. $0° < \phi < 45°$ [33, 34]. The manifestation of the LTO-LTT transition in charge transport is a jump in R, which is more pronounced in the out-of-plane than in the in-plane transport [27, 35]. Therefore, we report a study of the c-axis resistance R_c.

Methods.— The LNSCO single crystal was grown by



FIG. 1. (a) R_c vs. T. T_c and $T_{\rm LTT}$ were determined as shown; $T_{\rm CO}$ and $T_{\rm SO}$ are from Refs. [27–29]. The inset shows the transition region. (b) Relaxation and avalanches in R_c after warming from ~ 40 K to $T = 70.59$ K. T becomes stable at $t = t'$, but R_c relaxes for hours after t'. Inset: The relaxation, with avalanches removed, fitted to a stretched exponential function (dashed line), as shown, with $\beta = 0.2$ and $\tau_{relax} = 32$ s. (c) The cumulative distribution N_c of avalanche sizes ΔR for the data in (b) ($T = 70.59$ K) and at $T = 70.7$ K, obtained from ten separate measurements. Dashed lines are fits $N_c \sim (\Delta R)^{-(\tau_T - 1)}$ with $\tau_T \approx 1.8$, as shown. Inset: The occurrence of avalanches in (b) after t'; the dashed line is a logarithmic fit.

the traveling-solvent floating-zone technique. Detailed R_c measurements were performed on a bar-shaped sample with dimensions $1.46 \times 0.41 \times 0.24$ mm^3 using a dc reversal technique [36] (excitation current $I_{\rm exc} = 100$ μA in the Ohmic regime). The contacts were made by evaporating Au and annealing in air at 700°C. MR was measured in magnetic fields $H \parallel c$ up to 12 T, with sweep rates (0.05-0.5 T/min) low enough to avoid heating of the sample from eddy currents. In this range, the results did not depend on the sweep rate. The sample becomes superconducting ($R_c = 0$) at $T_c = (3.51 \pm 0.06)$ K, and $T_{LTT} = (70.8 \pm 0.5)$ K is taken at the center of the jump in $R_c(T)$ [Fig. 1(a)]. The width of the jump reflects the presence of the LTLO region [34], which is quite narrow (~ 1 K) in our crystal (cf. ~ 10 K in Ref. [34]), suggesting that the amount of excess oxygen is very small [37]. A jump in R_c is known to be accompanied by a small thermal hysteresis, which is attributed to the first-order nature of the structural transition [35].

The dynamics of systems with many metastable states are probed by studying fluctuations and relaxations. The observed stability of the CDW order in cuprates implies that, if any metastable states are present, thermal fluctuations are too small to overcome the energy barriers that separate them. Hence, we study the response of the system to external perturbations: (i) a change in T, and (ii) applied H. All measurements were done with a controlled history, as described below.

Response to a temperature change.— The sample was prepared using two procedures. In the "cooling" procedure, the sample was cooled from a high $T \gg T_{\rm LTT} \simeq T_{\rm CO}$, typically ~ 90 K, to the measurement temperature. No intrinsic relaxations of R_c with time t were observed after cooling. In the "warming" procedure, the sample was cooled from ~ 90 K down to $T \ll T_{\rm LTT} \simeq T_{\rm CO}$, typically ~ 40 K, but there was no dependence of the results on the precise value of this T. The sample was then warmed to the measurement temperature. As the transition was approached from below, a striking difference was found compared to cooling: here R_c continued to relax for hours after the measurement temperature became stable [Fig. 1(b)]. In addition, the relaxations were accompanied by avalanchelike jumps [Fig. 1(b)] that occurred roughly with a logarithmic t dependence (Fig. 1(c) inset). If avalanches are "removed" by shifting parts of the $R_c(t)$ curve by the size of the jumps, ΔR, then the overall relaxation is described best with a stretched exponential, $R_c \propto \exp\{-[(t - t')/\tau_{relax}]^{\beta}\}$, with $\beta = 0.2$ and $\tau_{relax} = 32$ s (Fig. 1(b) inset). At short times, the relaxation is slower, but the range of the data is not sufficiently large to determine its form precisely. Importantly, nonexponential relaxations are typical signatures of systems with many metastable states, as they reflect the existence of a broad distribution of relaxation times.

Avalanches are collective rearrangements that occur as the system shifts from one metastable state to another [38]. The distribution of avalanche sizes was analyzed by constructing the cumulative distribution $N_c(\Delta R)$ [Fig. 1(c)], which describes the probability that an avalanche has a size greater than or equal to ΔR [39]. Similar to the analysis of Barkhausen noise [40], a criterion proportional to the background standard deviation was used as the metric for counting avalanches as spikes in the derivative. We find a power-law dependence $N_c \sim (\Delta R)^{-(\tau_T - 1)}$ ($\tau_T \approx 1.8$), indicating a broad range of scales or barrier heights, in analogy with various driven, nonlinear, dynamical systems [38].

Eventually, the relaxations could no longer be observed, suggesting that thermal fluctuations no longer seemed to play a role within the experimental resolution. In such cases, however, the energy barriers can be overcome by applying an external field, which modifies the free-energy landscape, driving the system from one metastable state to another. Thus the MR was measured



FIG. 2. (a) R_c vs. H after warming to $T = 71.10$ K. The arrows and numbers show the direction and the order of field sweeps. The MR exhibits return-point memory, e.g. R_c at 5 T has the same value after the first subloop $(2-3)$ has closed as in the initial sweep. Inset: Subloops shifted vertically for comparison. (b) $N_c(\Delta R)$ at $T = 70.7$ K [45]. The solid line is a fit $N_c \sim (\Delta R)^{-(\tau'-1)}$ with $\tau' = 1.666 \pm 0.002$. Inset: The number of avalanches (N) vs. H (centers of 0.25 T-wide bins). The solid line is a Gaussian fit, centered at $H_c = (9.5 \pm 0.1)$ T with a 2.2 T half width. (c) $N_c(\Delta R)$ for $T = 70.7$ K and fixed H (1 T bins), as shown. Traces are offset vertically for clarity. Solid lines are power-law fits; dashed lines are extrapolations of those fits. At $H = H_c$, $N_c \sim (\Delta R)^{-(\tau-1)}$ with $\tau = 1.54 \pm 0.04$.

after the relaxations were no longer visible.

Response to applied H.— The observed negative MR [Fig. 2(a)] suggests that $H \parallel c$ drives the system towards the lower-resistance LTO phase. Indeed, a hysteresis [Fig. 2(a)] is a general feature of driven, athermal first-order phase transitions (FOPTs) in the presence of disorder [38, 41–44]. In such transitions, thermal fluctuations are too small to overcome the energy barriers that separate metastable states, which result from the presence of quenched disorder. Avalanches are indeed observed during H sweeps [Fig. 2(a)], but *only* with sweeps to H higher than those applied previously. Moreover, no avalanches have been seen in the MR obtained after cooling, even though the MR still exhibits a hysteresis [45]. Thus the occurrence of avalanches is *asymmetric*: in both $H = 0$ [Fig. 1(b)] and the MR [Fig. 2(a)] they are seen only when the system evolves from the LTT phase. The asymmetric avalanche behavior is uncommon; except for a couple of examples of related behavior [46–48], avalanche distribution in various systems is symmetric for both cooling and heating through the transition, including structural martensitic transitions [49], and across both branches of the hysteresis loop [38, 41–44]. On the other hand, some type of asymmetric behavior was observed in the thermal response of $1T$-TaS_2 [50], a conventional CDW, and attributed to the presence of metastable states. Thus the observed asymmetry suggests the possibility that the presence of CDW domains in the LTT phase in LNSCO may produce an additional manifold of metastable states that are not caused by disorder [25].

The MR demonstrates return-point memory (RPM) [Fig. 2(a)] and a slight incongruence of closed subloops obtained between the same H end points but with a different history (Fig. 2(a) inset), indicating weak interactions between domains [51]. Although the MR hysteresis was observed previously near the LTO-LTT transition in a similar material [52], $La_{1.4-x}Nd_{0.6}Sr_xCuO_4$ with $x = 0.10$ and 0.15, the novel observations of the RPM and the evidence for interactions, in the form of avalanches and incongruent subloops, impose strong constraints on theory. For example, the $T = 0$ random-field Ising model (RFIM) exhibits all of the observed properties [41], albeit no asymmetry.

To gather sufficient statistics, MR was measured ten times at $T = 70.7$ K [45]. Figure 2(b) inset shows that the avalanches are observed only above a threshold field of $\lesssim 2$ T, suggesting that this is the minimum depinning field for the domains. Indeed, the MR is reversible for sweeps up to $H < 2$ T. Furthermore, the avalanches have a Gaussian field distribution, centered at $H_c = (9.5 \pm 0.1)$ T. Consistent with the standard theoretical assumptions (e.g. Refs. [38, 41]), this suggests the presence of a Gaussian distribution of local critical fields, due to disorder, around H_c, the critical driving field of the clean system. We find that $N_c \sim (\Delta R)^{-(\tau'-1)}$, up to an exponential cut-off characteristic of the presence of strong pinning or disorder (e.g. Ref. [53]). Indeed, the exponent $\tau' = 1.666 \pm 0.002$ and the existence of slow relaxations [Fig. 1(b)] are consistent with a model for a three-dimensional (3D) system with strong pinning of many small domains, as opposed to the motion and depinning of large domain walls in the case of weak pinning [54]. However, estimating the spatial size of the domains from ΔR may not be straightforward [55].

While the cut-off in $N_c(\Delta R)$ is related to the maximum size of the domains due to strong pinning, the power-law behavior reveals the existence of criticality in the system [43, 56]. Strictly speaking, criticality is expected to occur only at a certain field. Indeed, N_c determined for fixed H [Fig. 2(c)] demonstrates that the power law is obeyed best for $H_c = 9.5$ T, where $N_c \sim (\Delta R)^{-(\tau-1)}$ with $\tau = 1.54 \pm 0.04$. Away from H_c, the distributions in-



FIG. 3. The average number of avalanches per MR measurement (red diamonds), after warming, vs. T. To increase the statistics, i.e. the number of data sets used, only avalanches for $H \leq 9$ T were included. $d \ln R_c/dT$ (blue line) has a minimum at the suppression of avalanche occurrence. The features of $d \ln R_c/dT$ marked by the vertical dashed lines correspond to T_{d3} and T_{d2}, the temperatures of the LTT-LTLO and LTLO-LTO transitions, respectively [34].

creasingly deviate from the power law, as expected. The cut-off, however, does not vanish at H_c, indicating that the strength of disorder W exceeds the critical level of disorder W_c above which an infinite avalanche never occurs [38, 41]. On the other hand, $H_c(W)$ is clearly close enough to the critical point $H_c(W_c)$ to observe the power law. Hence, our results are consistent with the existence of an H-driven FOPT in the presence of disorder. Even the result for τ is consistent with general predictions [43], including that of the 3D RFIM, but further studies beyond the scope of this work are needed to identify the precise universality class of this transition. We note though that the asymmetric occurrence of avalanches in the MR is in contrast to standard predictions [38, 41–44].

The avalanche occurrence as a function of T was studied by calculating the average number of avalanches per MR sweep in a given, 0.1 K-wide temperature bin. Figure 3 shows that the distribution has a large peak near the center of the $R_c(T)$ jump [T_{LTT} in Fig. 1(a)], i.e. in the LTLO region, with a second, smaller peak in the LTT phase, with no avalanches observed in the LTO structure. The thermal relaxations and the hysteretic MR are observed in a similar T range.

Discussion.— Clearly, the resistance measurement is a direct, bulk probe of the charge degrees of freedom, capable of testing their dynamics on exceptionally long time scales. Thus the evidence obtained from R_c for metastable states and correlated behavior only in the CDW-ordered phase strongly suggests that it reflects the dynamics of charge order. It is thus interesting to speculate about a possible relationship between the power-law distribution of avalanche sizes found here to that of the spatial distribution of charge "stripes" below T_{CO} in $HgBa_2CuO_{4+y}$ at optimal doping [58], or about the similarities between our results in LNSCO and the dynamical behavior of conventional CDW systems [59].

Since CDW order in LNSCO is intricately related to LTT distortions [26–29], it is plausible also that the dynamics of the CDW domains reflects similar changes in the structure. For example, when $H \parallel c$ is applied, the structural domains may switch irreversibly from the LTT (or LTLO) to LTO structure. Although the precise mechanism for this process is unclear, one possible factor might be the irreversible orienting of canted Cu spins [37, 57] by H, which would be more relevant in the LTT/LTLO than in the LTO phase because of the growing AF correlations below T_{CO} [31, 32]. Indeed, the $H \parallel ab$ magnetic susceptibility reflects the gradual AF ordering of Cu spins in CuO_2 layers below the structural transition, but the $H \parallel c$ component is dominated by Nd^{3+} moments that have a tendency towards ferromagnetic ordering [52], suggesting that they may be responsible for the presence of random local fields. The MR also is anisotropic: for $H \parallel ab$, it is positive and hysteretic [52], but with no avalanches (not shown); $H \parallel ab$ thus appears to favor the LTT phase. Similar studies, especially on other stripe-ordered cuprates such as $La_{1.8-x}Eu_{0.2}Sr_xCuO_4$ and $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$, are needed to clarify precisely the interplay of charge and lattice.

Our study of nonequilibrium charge transport across the CDW and structural transition in $La_{1.48}Nd_{0.4}Sr_{0.12}CuO_4$ provides evidence for collective dynamics of domains in the CDW-ordered phase. The system relaxes in response to a change in T or H via collective rearrangements or avalanches as it jumps from one metastable state to another, but nonequilibrium effects are observed only when the transition is approached from the CDW-ordered side. Thus we also clarify the conditions necessary for the observation of dynamic, as opposed to static, domains: in addition to applying a sufficiently large external perturbation, it is crucial to perform measurements with a well-controlled history. This points a way to detecting fluctuating CDWs in the cuprates using also other experimental techniques. Nonequilibrium protocols in charge transport are shown to be valuable probes of charge domain dynamics in the cuprates that can be extended to other correlated-electron systems, such as iron pnictides.

We thank P. Kuhns and A. Reyes for experimental assistance, B. Tadić, V. Dobrosavljević, and E. Manousakis for discussions, NSF Grant No. DMR-1307075, and the NHMFL through the NSF Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-1157490 and the State of Florida for financial support. This work was performed in part at the Aspen Center for Physics, which is supported by NSF grant PHY-1066293.

* dragana@magnet.fsu.edu

[1] For a review, see E. Fradkin, S. A. Kivelson, and J. M. Tranquada, Rev. Mod. Phys. **87**, 457 (2015), and references therein.

[2] S. A. Kivelson, I. P. Bindloss, E. Fradkin, V. Oganesyan, J. M. Tranquada, A. Kapitulnik, and C. Howald, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 1201 (2003).

[3] D. H. Torchinsky, F. Mahmood, A. T. Bollinger, I. Božović, and N. Gedik, Nature Phys. **12**, 387 (2013).

[4] W. D. Wise *et al.*, Nature Phys. **4**, 696 (2008).

[5] J. Chang *et al.*, Nature Phys. **8**, 871 (2012).

[6] D. LeBoeuf *et al.*, Nature Phys. **9**, 79 (2013).

[7] G. Ghiringhelli *et al.*, Science **337**, 821 (2012).

[8] J. A. Rosen *et al.*, Nature Commun. **4**, 1977 (2013).

[9] T. Wu *et al.*, Nature Commun. **4**, 2113 (2013).

[10] S. Blanco-Canosa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 187001 (2013).

[11] E. Blackburn *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 137004 (2013).

[12] M. Le Tacon *et al.*, Nature Phys. **10**, 52 (2014).

[13] R. Comin *et al.*, Science **343**, 390 (2014).

[14] T. Wu *et al.*, Nature Commun. **6**, 6438 (2015).

[15] X. M. Chen *et al.*, to appear in Phys. Rev. Lett.; arXiv:1606.04168 (2016).

[16] J. A. Robertson, S. A. Kivelson, E. Fradkin, A. C. Fang, and A. Kapitulnik, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 134507 (2006).

[17] A. Del Maestro, B. Rosenow, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 024520 (2006).

[18] L. Nie, G. Tarjus, and S. A. Kivelson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **111**, 7980 (2014).

[19] A. J. Achkar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 107002 (2014).

[20] L. P. Gor'kov and A. V. Sokol, JETP Lett. **46**, 420 (1987).

[21] V. J. Emery and S. A. Kivelson, Physica (Amsterdam) **209C**, 597 (1993).

[22] E. Dagotto, *Nanoscale phase separation and colossal magnetoresistance* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002).

[23] For a review, see E. Dagotto, Science **309**, 257 (2005).

[24] J. Schmalian and P. G. Wolynes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 836 (2000).

[25] S. Mahmoudian, L. Rademaker, A. Ralko, S. Fratini, and V. Dobrosavljević, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 025701 (2015).

[26] J. M. Tranquada, B. J. Sternlieb, J. D. Axe, Y. Nakamura, and S. Uchida, Nature **375**, 561 (1995).

[27] J. M. Tranquada, J. D. Axe, N. Ichikawa, Y. Nakamura, S. Uchida, and B. Nachumi, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 7489 (1996).

[28] M. v. Zimmermann *et al.*, Europhys. Lett. **41**, 629 (1998).

[29] N. Ichikawa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1738 (2000).

[30] For a review, see M. Hücker, Physica C: Superconductivity **481**, 3 (2012).

[31] A. W. Hunt, P. M. Singer, A. F. Cederström, and T. Imai, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 134525 (2001).

[32] S.-H. Baek *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 155144 (2015).

[33] M. K. Crawford *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 7749 (1991).

[34] S. Sakita, F. Nakamura, T. Suzuki, and T. Fujita, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **68**, 2755 (1999).

[35] Y. Nakamura and S. Uchida, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 5841 (1992).

[36] See http://www.keithley.com for Keithley Instruments, Inc., 28775 Aurora Road, Cleveland, Ohio 44139.

[37] M. Hücker, V. Kataev, J. Pommer, U. Ammerahl, A. Revcolevschi, J. M. Tranquada, and B. Büchner, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 214515 (2004).

[38] For a review, see J. P. Sethna, K. A. Dahmen, and C. R. Myers, Nature **410**, 242 (2001).

[39] M. Newman, Contemporary Physics **46**, 323 (2005).

[40] D. Spasojević, S. Bukvić, S. Milošević, and H. E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. E **54**, 2531 (1996).

[41] J. P. Sethna, K. Dahmen, S. Kartha, J. A. Krumhansl, B. W. Roberts, and J. D. Shore, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3347 (1993).

[42] E. Vives and A. Planes, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 3839 (1994).

[43] E. Vives and A. Planes, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 134431 (2001).

[44] P. Le Doussal and K. J. Wiese, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 051106 (2009).

[45] See Supplemental Material [url].

[46] M. P. Lilly, P. T. Finley, and R. B. Hallock, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 4186 (1993).

[47] W. Wu and P. W. Adams, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 610 (1995).

[48] J. C. Prestigiacomo, T. J. Liu, and P. W. Adams, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 184519 (2014).

[49] E. Vives *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1694 (1994).

[50] J. D. Su, A. R. Sandy, J. Mohanty, O. G. Shpyrko, and M. Sutton, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 205105 (2012).

[51] G. Bertotti, *Hysteresis in Magnetism* (Academic Press, New York, 1998).

[52] Z. A. Xu, N. P. Ong, T. Noda, H. Eisaki, and S. Uchida, Europhys. Lett. **50**, 796 (2000).

[53] P. Le Doussal, A. A. Middleton, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 050101(R) (2009).

[54] B. Tadić, Eur. Phys. J. B **28**, 81 (2002).

[55] A. Sharoni, J. G. Ramírez, and I. K. Schuller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 026404 (2008).

[56] O. Perković, K. A. Dahmen, and J. P. Sethna, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 6106 (1999).

[57] M. A. Kastner *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 897 (1998).

[58] G. Campi *et al.*, Nature **525**, 359 (2015).

[59] P. Monceau, Advances in Physics **61**, 325 (2012).

Supplemental Material for: Collective Dynamics and Strong Pinning of Charge-Density-Wave Order in $La_{1.48}Nd_{0.4}Sr_{0.12}CuO_4$

P. G. Baity,[1] T. Sasagawa,[2] and Dragana Popović[1]

[1] *National High Magnetic Field Laboratory and Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA*
[2] *Materials and Structures Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Kanagawa 226-8503, Japan*

Figure 1 demonstrates that the magnetoresistance (MR) curves obtained after cooling do not show any avalanches even though the MR still exhibits a hysteresis.



FIG. 1. MR for several T within the CDW and structural transition regime, as shown. Each MR was measured after cooling the sample from 90 K, i.e. as the transition was approached from above or the LTO phase. The MR curves exhibit hysteresis, but no avalanches. The arrows denote field sweep direction. The field sweep rates were 0.1 T/min at $T = 70.88$ K, 0.25 T/min at 71.12 K, 0.3 T/min at 70.97 K, and 0.4 T/min at 70.92 K and 71.27 K.

Figure 2 shows ten MR measurements obtained after warming to the same T in order to gather sufficient statistics on avalanches.



FIG. 2. Ten MR curves, each obtained after warming from ~ 40 K to $T = 70.7$ K. The field sweep rates were 0.1 T/min. The arrows show the direction of field sweeps. Avalanches are only observed in the initial sweep.